# ON THE LIMIT SOBOLEV REGULARITY FOR DIRICHLET AND NEUMANN PROBLEMS ON LIPSCHITZ DOMAINS

### MARTIN COSTABEL

ABSTRACT. We construct a bounded  $C^1$  domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for which the  $H^{3/2}$  regularity for the Dirichlet and Neumann problems for the Laplacian cannot be improved, that is, there exists  $f$  in  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$  such that the solution of  $\Delta u = f$  in  $\Omega$  and either  $u = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$  or  $\partial_n u = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$  is contained in  $H^{3/2}(\Omega)$  but not in  $H^{3/2+\epsilon}(\Omega)$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . An analogous result holds for  $L^p$ Sobolev spaces with  $p \in (1, \infty)$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The motivation for this note comes from a question of regularity of the time-harmonic Maxwell equations in Lipschitz domains. In the variational theory of Maxwell's equations, basis for the analysis of many algorithms of numerical electrodynamics, the following two function spaces are fundamental:

$$
X_N = H(\text{div}, \Omega) \cap H_0(\text{curl}, \Omega)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \{ u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^3) \mid \text{div } u \in L^2(\Omega), \text{curl } u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^3), u \times n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \}
$$
(1.1)  
\n
$$
X_T = H_0(\text{div}, \Omega) \cap H(\text{curl}, \Omega)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \{ u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^3) \mid \text{div } u \in L^2(\Omega), \text{curl } u \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^3), u \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \}
$$
(1.2)

Here *n* is the outward unit normal vector field on the boundary of the domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ .

If  $\Omega$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain, then it has been known for a long time [\[15,](#page-9-0) [11\]](#page-9-1) that  $X_N$ and  $X_T$  are compactly embedded subspaces of  $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^3)$ , and it has been shown more precisely [\[5,](#page-9-2) [10\]](#page-9-3) that they are contained in the Sobolev space  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}^3) = W^{\frac{1}{2},2}(\Omega,\mathbb{C}^3)$ . For large classes of more regular domains,  $X_N$  and  $X_T$  are contained in  $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$  (see [\[3\]](#page-8-0) for  $C^{1,1}$  domains, [\[7\]](#page-9-4) for  $C^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}$  domains, [\[12\]](#page-9-5) for  $X_N$  on convex domains, [\[13\]](#page-9-6) for "almost convex" domains). The regularity is diminished by corner singularities, but one also knows [\[3\]](#page-8-0) that for every Lipschitz polyhedron or, more generally, piecewise smooth domain  $\Omega$  that is at least  $C^2$ -diffeomorphic to a polyhedron, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

<span id="page-0-0"></span>
$$
X_N \cup X_T \subset H^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^3). \tag{1.3}
$$

The additional regularity described by  $\varepsilon$  is of some use in the numerical analysis of Maxwell's equations (see for example  $[2, 1]$  $[2, 1]$  $[2, 1]$ ). The parameter  $\varepsilon$  can become arbitrarily small, depending on the corner angles of ∂Ω, but it depends only on these angles, that is, on the local Lipschitz constant of ∂Ω. Based on this observation, one could ask the question whether for any Lipschitz domain  $\Omega$ , there exists such an  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which [\(1.3\)](#page-0-0) holds. This question is the motivation for the present investigation.

*Date*: 2018-12-04.

To the best of the author's knowledge, the conjecture that such an  $\varepsilon > 0$  always exists is not incompatible with the currently available regularity results for Maxwell's equations on Lipschitz domains, but we shall show that it is not true. As a corollary of our constructions, we obtain a counterexample that is even  $C^1$ .

<span id="page-1-3"></span>**Proposition 1.1.** *There exists a bounded*  $C^1$  *domain*  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , *an*  $L^2(\Omega)$  *function* g *and an*  $L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{C}^3)$  function h such that the solutions  $u \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{C}^3)$  of the system

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\operatorname{div} u = g, \qquad \operatorname{curl} u = h \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{1.4}
$$

*and either*

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
u \times n = 0 \quad on \ \partial\Omega \tag{1.5}
$$

*or*

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
u \cdot n = 0 \quad on \ \partial\Omega \tag{1.6}
$$

*do not belong to*  $H^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}(\Omega;\mathbb{C}^3)$  *for any*  $\varepsilon > 0$ . *In the system* [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0), the field h can be chosen to be zero and q can be chosen to be continous on  $\overline{\Omega}$ *.* 

As we will see in the following, analogous results are true in dimension 2 and in higher dimensions, and also for non-Hilbert Sobolev spaces over  $L^p$  with p different from 2.

Non-regular solutions of the div-curl system [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) are typically sought as gradients of solutions of the inhomogeneous Laplace (Poisson) equation with either Dirichlet (for  $(1.5)$ ) or Neumann (for [\(1.6\)](#page-1-2)) boundary conditions. A non-regularity result for these Laplace boundary value problems is the main result of this paper, see Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) below. It will be proved in Section [3](#page-6-0) for dimension  $d = 2$  and in Section [4](#page-7-0) for higher dimensions.

We use the standard notation  $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$  for the Sobolev-Slobodeckij spaces on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , and we recall that for  $0 < s < 1$  the seminorm

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
|u|_{s,p;\Omega} = \left(\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(y) - u(x)|^p}{|y - x|^{d + sp}} dx dy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.7}
$$

defines the norm  $\|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}=\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}+|u|_{s,p;\Omega}$ , that  $W^{0,p}(\Omega)=L^p(\Omega),$  and that for any  $s$  there holds

$$
u\in W^{s+1,p}(\Omega) \iff u\in W^{s,p}(\Omega) \text{ and } \nabla u\in W^{s,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^d)\,.
$$

As usual, we write  $W_0^{s,p}$  $C_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$  for the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ . In view of an interesting property of the domain we are going to construct (see equations  $(1.10)$  and  $(4.1)$ ), we recall that for  $\frac{1}{p} < s < 1 + \frac{1}{p}$  the subspace  $\overline{W}^{s,p}_0$  $C_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$  is characterized by the condition that the boundary trace vanishes, whereas for  $1 + \frac{1}{p} < s < 2 + \frac{1}{p}$  the condition is that both the trace and the normal derivative vanish on  $\partial\Omega$ .

In order to describe known regularity results, we also need the Bessel potential spaces  $H^{s,p}(\Omega)$ , which are different from  $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$  if  $p \neq 2$ . For the main properties of these spaces, see [\[14\]](#page-9-7). In Triebel's notation  $W^{m,p}(\Omega) = F_{p,2}^m(\Omega)$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$
H^{s,p}(\Omega) = F^s_{p,2}(\Omega) , \quad \text{ and for } s \notin \mathbb{Z} : W^{s,p}(\Omega) = B^s_{p,p}(\Omega) .
$$

Note that the trace space for both  $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$  and  $H^{s,p}(\Omega)$  on a sufficiently smooth boundary is  $W^{s-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial\Omega)$  if  $s>\frac{1}{p}$ .

Comprehensive regularity results in the  $H^{s,p}$  spaces for the Dirichlet problem on Lipschitz domains were given by Jerison and Kenig [\[8\]](#page-9-8). They had previously studied the homogeneous Laplace equation with inhomogeneous Neumann conditions [\[9\]](#page-9-9), and corresponding results for the homogeneous Neumann problem of the inhomogeneous Laplace equation were obtained by Fabes, Mendez and Mitrea [\[6\]](#page-9-10) and Zanger [\[16\]](#page-9-11). In particular, there exist precise answers to the question for which s and p the condition  $q \in H^{s-2,p}(\Omega)$  implies  $v \in H^{s,p}(\Omega)$  for the solutions v of the problems

<span id="page-2-2"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\Delta v = g \quad \text{in } \Omega \,, \qquad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.8}
$$

$$
\Delta v = g \quad \text{in } \Omega \,, \qquad \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.9}
$$

For the maximal regularity one finds a limit at  $s = 1 + \frac{1}{p}$ . We summarize the main results pertaining to the question of maximal regularity (here formulated for the Dirichlet problem, see [\[8,](#page-9-8) Thms 1.1–1.3], where  $H^{s,p}$  is written  $L_s^p$ ; the results for the Neumann problem are similar):

For any bounded Lipschitz domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , there exists  $p_0 \in [1, 2)$  such that for  $p_0 < p <$  $\overline{p}_0$  $\frac{p_0}{p_0-1}$  and  $\frac{1}{p} < s < 1+\frac{1}{p}$  the solution v of the Dirichlet problem [\(1.8\)](#page-2-1) with  $g \in H^{s-2,p}(\Omega)$  belongs to  $H^{s,p}(\Omega)$ . The following 4 points indicate the known borders of this result.

1. In general,  $p_0 > 1$  and there are counterexamples as soon as p or s are outside of the given bounds, but when  $\Omega$  is a  $C^1$  domain, one can choose  $p_0 = 1$ , so that the result is true for any  $p \in (1,\infty).$ 

2. When  $p > 2$ , there are Lipschitz counterexamples with  $g \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $v \notin W^{1+\frac{1}{p},p}(\Omega)$ . There is a  $C^1$  counterexample for  $p = 1$  with  $g \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $v \notin W^{2,1}(\Omega)$ .

3. In the optimal regularity-shift result for  $C<sup>1</sup>$  domains, the condition on s cannot be weakened, because for any  $p > 1$  there exists a bounded  $C^1$  domain  $\Omega$  and a  $g \in H^{-1+\frac{1}{p},p}(\Omega)$  such that  $v \notin H^{1+\frac{1}{p},p}(\Omega).$ 

4. On the other hand, if g is more regular, for example  $g \in H^{-1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p > 1$ , then  $v \in H^{1+\frac{1}{p}, p}(\Omega)$  follows. The latter result is obtained by subtracting from v a solution  $v_0 \in H^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega)$  of  $\Delta v_0 = g$  without boundary conditions and observing that a harmonic function with trace in  $W^{1,p}(\partial\Omega)$  belongs to  $H^{1+\frac{1}{p},p}(\Omega)$ .

We will prove that one will have  $v \notin H^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega)$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , in general, even for more regular g. Because of the mutual inclusions  $H^{s+\epsilon,p} \subset W^{s,p} \subset H^{s-\epsilon,p}$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , the result is equivalently formulated in the scale of  $W^{s,p}$  spaces.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Theorem 1.2.** In  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , there exists a bounded  $C^1$  domain  $\Omega$  and for both the Dirichlet *problem* [\(1.8\)](#page-2-1) and the Neumann problem [\(1.9\)](#page-2-2) *functions*  $g \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  *such that the solutions*  $v \in$  $H^1(\Omega)$  *do not belong to*  $W^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega)$  *for any*  $p \in [1,\infty)$  *and any*  $\varepsilon > 0$ *.* 

*Remark* 1.3. It will follow from the proof that in dimension  $d = 2$ , there are functions  $g \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ that provide examples, even  $q = 1$  is possible for the Dirichlet problem and a second degree polynomial g for the Neumann problem. See also Remark [3.3.](#page-7-2) In dimension  $d \geq 3$ , there is still an example with  $g = 1$  for the Dirichlet problem, and examples with  $g \in C^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , for the Neumann problem.

*Remark* 1.4. Not all of this is new: For  $p = 1$ , the counterexample from [\[8,](#page-9-8) Theorem 1.2(b)] shows that the result for the Dirichlet problem holds even with  $\varepsilon = 0$ . Moreover, for  $p > 2$  the result of Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) is not interesting in the class of Lipschitz domains, because singularities at

#### 4 MARTIN COSTABEL

conical points provide a limit of regularity that is strictly below  $s = 1 + \frac{1}{p}$ . This follows from the well-known singular asymptotic behavior  $O(r^{\alpha})$  near a straight  $d-2$  dimensional facet of the boundary (corner in dimension  $d = 2$  or "edge" in dimension  $\geq 3$ ) of opening angle  $\frac{\pi}{6}$  of generic solutions of the Dirichlet and Neumann problems with smooth right hand sides, where r is the distance to the corner or edge. Such functions are in  $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$  only for  $s < \alpha + \frac{2}{n}$  $\frac{2}{p}$ , hence not in  $W^{1+\frac{1}{p},p}(\Omega)$  as soon as the opening angle exceeds  $\frac{p}{p-1}\pi$ . But for  $C^1$  domains the result still seems to be new even for  $p > 2$ . We provide a proof that works for any  $p \ge 1$ , because there is no extra cost with respect to the proof for  $p = 2$ . One just has to be careful to observe that the same domain  $Ω$  and the same function g give an example valid for all p and all  $ε$ .

Proposition [1.1](#page-1-3) follows from Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) for  $p = 2$ ,  $d = 3$  if we take  $u = \nabla v$  ("electrostatic field"). The Laplace equation for v implies the div-curl system [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) for u with  $h = 0$ , and the Dirichlet and Neumann conditions in  $(1.8)$  and  $(1.9)$  for v imply the vanishing of the tangential component [\(1.5\)](#page-1-1) or of the normal component [\(1.6\)](#page-1-2), respectively. Finally,  $v \in W^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega)$  is equivalent to  $u \in W^{\frac{1}{p} + \varepsilon, p}(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^3)$ .

The construction of our counterexample uses the ideas of Filonov in the paper [\[7\]](#page-9-4), where he considers a related question for  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  and constructs a  $C^{\frac{3}{2}}$  domain  $\Omega$  that satisfies, among other interesting properties

$$
H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) = H_0^2(\Omega),
$$

that is, the homogeneous Dirichlet condition for  $H^2$  functions implies the homogeneous Neumann condition, see also [\[4\]](#page-9-12). Generalizing this, the  $C^1$  domain  $\Omega$  that we will construct satisfies

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
W^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = W_0^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega) \quad \forall 1 \le p < \infty, 0 < \varepsilon < 1.
$$
 (1.10)

## 2. GENERALIZING FILONOV'S SEPARATING FUNCTION

We construct a continuous real-valued function f on  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  with the following property: If a and b belong to  $W^{\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{T})$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $p > 1$ , and  $af = b$ , then  $a = b = 0$ .

The construction and proof are modeled after Filonov's construction of a  $C^{\frac{1}{2}}$  function that has the above separation property for  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $p = 2$ . It is in the lineage of Weierstrass' example of a continuous nowhere differentiable function.

We define  $f$  via a lacunary Fourier series

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \sin(b_k x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x)
$$
 (2.1)

where the sequences  $a_k > 0$  and  $b_k \in \mathbb{N}$  are chosen so that they satisfy  $\sum a_k < \infty$  and  $b_k \geq 2$ ,  $b_{k+1} \geq 2b_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and the following properties for a given small constant  $\gamma > 0$  to be fixed later on (see [\(2.7\)](#page-5-0)):

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_k b_k \le \gamma \, a_m b_m \qquad \qquad \forall \, m \ge 2 \tag{2.2}
$$

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k \le \gamma \, a_m \qquad \qquad \forall \, m \ge 1 \tag{2.3}
$$

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p b_m^{p\varepsilon} = +\infty \qquad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \ p \ge 1. \tag{2.4}
$$

We first show that for sufficiently large  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  the sequences  $a_k = q^{-k}$ ,  $b_k = 2^{q^k}$  have the properties  $(2.2)$ – $(2.4)$ , and we shall keep this choice from now on  $<sup>1</sup>$  $<sup>1</sup>$  $<sup>1</sup>$ .</sup>

For [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0), let  $s_m = \frac{1}{a_m}$  $\frac{1}{a_m b_m} \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} a_k b_k$ . Noting that for  $q \ge 7$  we have  $q^2 2^{1-q} < 1$ , we show by induction that then  $s_m < \frac{1}{a_1}$  $\frac{1}{q-1}$  for all  $m \ge 2$ , which implies [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0) for q large enough. Indeed,

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
s_2 = \frac{a_1 b_1}{a_2 b_2} = q 2^{(1-q)q} < q 2^{1-q} < \frac{1}{q} < \frac{1}{q-1},
$$

and if  $s_m < \frac{1}{a-1}$  $\frac{1}{q-1}$  it follows that

$$
s_{m+1} = (s_m + 1) \frac{a_m b_m}{a_{m+1} b_{m+1}} = (s_m + 1) q 2^{(1-q)q^m} < (s_m + 1) q 2^{(1-q)} < \left(\frac{1}{q-1} + 1\right) \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q-1}.
$$

For  $(2.3)$ , we have

$$
\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{a_m} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{-k} = \frac{1}{q-1}
$$

which again is less than  $\gamma$  for q large enough.

<span id="page-4-6"></span>For [\(2.4\)](#page-4-1) we use that  $2^t \ge t \log 2$  for all  $t > 0$ , so that  $a_m^p b_m^{p\varepsilon} = (2^{\varepsilon q^m}/q^m)^p \ge (\varepsilon \log 2)^p$  for all m. Lemma 2.1. *The function* f *defined by* [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1) *is continuous on* T *and satisfies*

<span id="page-4-4"></span>
$$
\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|^p}{|y - x|^{1 + p\varepsilon}} dy = +\infty \qquad \text{for all } x \in [0, 2\pi], \ \varepsilon > 0, 1 \le p < \infty. \tag{2.5}
$$

*Proof.* We first note that we have  $f(2\pi - x) = -f(x)$ , so that it is sufficient to prove [\(2.5\)](#page-4-4) for  $x \in [0, \pi]$ . In this case  $[x, x+1] \subset [0, 2\pi]$ , and therefore with the disjoint intervals  $I_m = \left[\frac{1}{b_m}, \frac{2}{b_m}\right]$  $\frac{2}{b_m}$ we have

<span id="page-4-5"></span>
$$
\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|^p}{|y - x|^{1 + p\varepsilon}} dy \ge \sum_{m=1}^\infty \int_{I_m} \frac{|f(x + h) - f(x)|^p}{|h|^{1 + p\varepsilon}} dh \tag{2.6}
$$

Now for  $h \in I_m$  we estimate

$$
\left(\int_{I_m} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|^p}{|h|^{1+p\varepsilon}} dh\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge J_1 - J_2
$$
  
with  $J_1 = \left(\int_{I_m} \frac{|f_m(x+h) - f_m(x)|^p}{|h|^{1+p\varepsilon}} dh\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  and  $J_2 = \sum_{k \ne m} \left(\int_{I_m} \frac{|f_k(x+h) - f_k(x)|^p}{|h|^{1+p\varepsilon}} dh\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ .

<span id="page-4-2"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>By plotting approximate values of the integral in [\(2.7\)](#page-5-0) against the variable z and visual inspection of the graph, one can obtain a rough numerical approximation of  $\gamma$  that indicates that  $\gamma \ge 0.0154$ . In view of the condition  $1/(q-1) < \gamma$ , this suggests that a value of  $q = 66$  should be "sufficiently large".

To estimate  $J_1$ , we assume that  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and make the change of variables  $t = b_m h$  to obtain

$$
J_1 = a_m b_m^{\varepsilon} \left( \int_1^2 |\sin(b_m x + t) - \sin(b_m x)|^p t^{-(1+p\varepsilon)} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge 5 \gamma a_m b_m^{\varepsilon},
$$

where we defined

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\gamma = \frac{1}{5} \min_{z \in \mathbb{T}} \int_1^2 |\sin(z+t) - \sin(z)| t^{-2} dt > 0.
$$
 (2.7)

Here we used Hölder's inequality,

$$
\int_{1}^{2} \frac{|\sin(z+t) - \sin(z)|}{t^{2}} dt \le \int_{1}^{2} \frac{|\sin(z+t) - \sin(z)|}{t^{1+\varepsilon}} dt
$$
  
 
$$
\le \left(\int_{1}^{2} |\sin(z+t) - \sin(z)|^{p} t^{-(1+p\varepsilon)} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{1}^{2} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.
$$

To estimate  $J_2$ , we use for  $k \le m - 1$ 

$$
|f_k(x+h) - f_k(x)| \le a_k b_k |h| \le 2a_k b_k \frac{1}{b_m}
$$

and for  $k \geq m + 1$ 

$$
|f_k(x+h) - f_k(x)| \le 2a_k
$$

so that we obtain with [\(2.2\)](#page-4-0)

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \Big( \int_{I_m} \frac{|f_k(x+h) - f_k(x)|^p}{|h|^{1+p\varepsilon}} dh \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le 2\gamma a_m \Big( \int_{I_m} \frac{dh}{|h|^{1+p\varepsilon}} \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le 2\gamma a_m b_m^{\varepsilon}
$$

and with  $(2.3)$ 

$$
\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \Big( \int_{I_m} \frac{|f_k(x+h) - f_k(x)|^p}{|h|^{1+p\varepsilon}} dh \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2\gamma a_m \Big( \int_{I_m} \frac{dh}{|h|^{1+p\varepsilon}} \Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 2\gamma a_m b_m^{\varepsilon},
$$
  
 $\leq A \infty$ 

hence  $J_2 \leq 4\gamma a_m b_m^{\varepsilon}$ .

Together, this gives

$$
\left(\int_{I_m} \frac{|f(x+h)-f(x)|^p}{|h|^{1+p\varepsilon}} dh\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge \gamma a_m b_m^{\varepsilon},
$$

and finally with  $(2.6)$  and  $(2.4)$ 

$$
\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(y)-f(x)|^p}{|y-x|^{1+p\varepsilon}} dy \geq \sum_{m=1}^\infty \gamma^p a_m^p b_m^{p\varepsilon} = +\infty.
$$

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Proposition 2.2.** *The function*  $f$  *defined by* [\(2.1\)](#page-3-1) *has the following separation property: Let*  $0 <$  $\varepsilon < 1$ ,  $p \ge 1$  and  $a, b \in W^{\varepsilon, p}(0, 2\pi)$ *. If*  $af = b$ *, then*  $a = b = 0$ *.* 

*Proof.* Write the  $W^{\varepsilon,p}$  seminorm as in [\(1.7\)](#page-1-4)

$$
|b|_{\varepsilon,p} = \Big(\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|b(y) - b(x)|^p}{|y - x|^{1 + p\varepsilon}} dy \, dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
$$

Using

$$
b(y) - b(x) = (f(y) - f(x))a(x) + f(y)(a(y) - a(x))
$$

and the triangle inequality, we find for  $a, b \in W^{\varepsilon,p}(0, 2\pi)$ 

$$
\Big(\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{|a(x)|^p|f(y)-f(x)|^p}{|y-x|^{1+p\varepsilon}}dy\,dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq |b|_{\varepsilon,p} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T})}|a|_{\varepsilon,p} < \infty.
$$

Because of [\(2.5\)](#page-4-4) from Lemma [2.1,](#page-4-6) this implies  $a(x) = 0$  for almost all  $x \in \mathbb{T}$  and then  $b = af = 0$ .

 $\Box$ 

### 3. 2D DOMAIN WITH LIMITED REGULARITY

<span id="page-6-0"></span>Let  $F(x) = 1 + \int_0^x f(t)dt$ . Then  $F \in C^1(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $F' = f$ , and  $\frac{1}{2} < F(x) < \frac{3}{2}$  $\frac{3}{2}$ .

The latter estimate follows easily from

$$
|F(x) - 1| = |\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \frac{1 - \cos(b_k x)}{b_k}| \le 2^{-q} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 q^{-k} = 2^{1-q} \frac{1}{q-1} \le \frac{1}{2}.
$$

We define now the  $C^1$  domain  $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  using polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$ 

$$
\omega = \{(r, \theta) \mid r < F(\theta)\}.
$$

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $u \in W^{\frac{1}{p} + \varepsilon, p}(\omega; \mathbb{C}^2)$  be such that its normal trace  $n \cdot u$ *vanishes on*  $\partial\omega$ *. Then*  $u = 0$  *on*  $\partial\omega$ *. The same conclusion is valid when the tangential trace*  $n \times u$ *vanishes on* ∂ω*.*

*Proof.* (Following Filonov [\[7,](#page-9-4) §5]) The unit normal n on  $\partial\omega$  has the Cartesian components

$$
n_1 = (F^2 + f^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (F \cos \theta + f \sin \theta), \quad n_2 = (F^2 + f^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (F \sin \theta - f \cos \theta).
$$

Therefore the condition  $n_1u_1 + n_2u_2 = 0$  implies  $af = b$  if we define

$$
a = u_2 \cos \theta - u_1 \sin \theta, \quad b = (u_1 \cos \theta + u_2 \sin \theta)F
$$

Now, since the traces  $u_i$  on  $\partial\omega$ , understood as functions  $\theta \mapsto u_i(F(\theta), \theta)$  on  $\mathbb T$ , belong to  $W^{\varepsilon, p}(\mathbb T)$ , we also have  $a, b \in W^{\varepsilon,p}(\mathbb{T})$ . According to Proposition [2.2](#page-5-1) we find  $a = b = 0$ , which implies  $u_1 = u_2 = 0$  on  $\partial \omega$ . The result using vanishing tangential trace follows by a rotation by  $\pi/2$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.2.** (i) There exists  $g \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\omega})$  such that the solution  $v_D \in H_0^1(\omega)$  of the Dirichlet *problem*

$$
\Delta v_D = g \text{ in } \omega \, ; \quad v_D = 0 \text{ on } \partial \omega
$$

*does not belong to*  $W^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\omega)$  *for any*  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $p \ge 1$ *. (i)* There exists  $g \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\omega})$  such that any solution  $v_N \in H^1(\omega)$  of the Neumann problem

 $\Delta v_N = q$  *in*  $\omega$ ;  $\partial_n v_N = 0$  *on*  $\partial \omega$ 

*does not belong to*  $W^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\omega)$  *for any*  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $p \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* For  $v_D$  one can take  $g = 1$ . Set  $u = \nabla v_D$ . If  $v_D \in W^{1 + \frac{1}{p} + \varepsilon, p}(\omega)$ , then u satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition  $3.1$  with vanishing tangential trace. Hence also the normal trace of  $u$ vanishes, i.e.  $\partial_n v_D = 0$  on  $\partial \omega$ . Then Green's formula implies  $\int_{\omega} g = 0$ , which is not the case.

#### 8 MARTIN COSTABEL

For  $v_N \in W^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\omega)$  one obtains similarly that the tangential derivative on the boundary vanishes, hence the trace of  $v_N$  on  $\partial \omega$  is constant, without loss of generality equal to zero. Thus  $v_N$ is also solution of the Dirichlet problem. That there exists  $g \in L^2(\omega)$  for which this is impossible can be seen as follows:

Let g be a non-zero harmonic polynomial such that  $\int_{\omega} g = 0$ , for example  $g(x_1, x_2) = \alpha x_1 x_2 +$  $\beta(x_1^2 - x_2^2)$  with suitably chosen coefficients  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $v_N$  exists, and Green's formula gives the contradiction

$$
0 = \int_{\partial \omega} (\partial_n v_N g - v_N \partial_n g) ds = \int_{\omega} (\Delta v_N g - v_N \Delta g) dx = \int_{\omega} g^2 dx.
$$

<span id="page-7-2"></span>*Remark* 3.3. No eigenfunction of the Laplacian with Dirichlet conditions on  $\omega$  can belong to  $W^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\omega)$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$ , because it would also have vanishing normal derivative. Its extension by zero outside  $\omega$  would then be a Dirichlet eigenfunction with the same eigenvalue on any domain containing  $\omega$ . This contradicts for example the well known behavior of Dirichlet eigenvalues on disks or squares with varying size. It contradicts also the well known interior analyticity of Dirichlet eigenfunctions.

## 4. EXAMPLE IN HIGHER DIMENSIONS

<span id="page-7-0"></span>From  $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  one can construct  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  as follows (see [\[7\]](#page-9-4), for  $n = 3$  also [\[4,](#page-9-12) §6]). In cylindrical coordinates  $(r, \theta, z)$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{d-2}$ :

$$
\Omega = \{ (r, \theta, z) \mid \frac{r^2}{F(\theta)^2} + |z|^2 < 1 \}
$$

The intersection with the plane  $z = z_0$  gives for  $|z_0| < 1$  the scaled domain  $\sqrt{1 - |z_0|^2} \omega$ . One can still prove that for this domain  $\Omega$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  there holds

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
W^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = W_0^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega) \,, \tag{4.1}
$$

that is, for functions in  $W^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega)$  the vanishing of the boundary trace implies that also the normal derivative is zero on the boundary.

Indeed, suppose that  $v \in W^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $v = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and let  $u = \nabla v$ . Then the tangential components of  $u$  are zero on the boundary, and we have to show that the normal component of  $u$ vanishes, too, on ∂Ω. Define

$$
\tilde{u}(r,\theta,z) = u(\sqrt{1-|z|^2}r,\theta,z).
$$

Then  $\tilde{u}$  is defined on the product domain

$$
\tilde{\Omega} = \omega \times B_1 = \{ (r, \theta, z) \mid (r, \theta) \in \omega, |z| < 1 \}.
$$

For any  $\delta \in (0,1)$ , let  $\tilde{\Omega}_{\delta} = \omega \times B_{\delta}$ . On this product domain, there holds the inclusion

$$
W^{s,p}(\tilde{\Omega}_{\delta}) \subset L^p(B_{\delta}; W^{s,p}(\omega)),
$$

as can be seen first for integer s directly from the definition of the Sobolev space  $W^{s,p}$  and then for all  $s \geq 0$  by interpolation. Thus  $u \in W^{\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega;\mathbb{C}^d)$  implies that  $\tilde{u}$  restricted to  $\tilde{\Omega}_{\delta}$  belongs to

 $L^p\big(B_\delta; W^{\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\omega;{\mathbb C}^d)\big)$  , and for almost every  $z_0\in B_\delta,$  the restriction  $w_{z_0}$  of  $\tilde u$  to the plane  $z=z_0$ belongs to  $W^{\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\omega,\mathbb{C}^d)$ . The vanishing of the tangential components of u on  $\partial\Omega$  implies that the component of  $w_{z_0}$  that is parallel to the plane  $z = 0$  and tangential to  $\partial \omega$  vanishes on  $\partial \omega$ . Then Proposition [3.1](#page-6-1) tells us that the component of  $w_{z_0}$  that is parallel to the plane  $z = 0$  and normal to  $\partial\omega$  vanishes on  $\partial\omega$ , too. This means that at such a point  $(r, \theta, z) \in \partial\Omega$  with  $(\sqrt{1-|z|^2}r, \theta) \in \partial\omega$ ,  $z = z_0$ , in addition to the tangential components a component of u vanishes that is not tangential, and hence all components of u vanish there. Since this is true for almost all  $z_0$  satisfying  $|z_0| < \delta$ and for all  $0 < \delta < 1$ , we see that the trace of u on  $\partial\Omega$  is zero, which proves [\(4.1\)](#page-7-1).

The non-regularity result of Theorem [1.2](#page-2-0) for the Dirichlet problem in  $\Omega$  then follows in the same way as in the two-dimensional case. In particular, one can take  $q = 1$  for the counterexample.

For the Neumann problem, a slightly different variant of adding  $d - 2$  variables works, and this variant could also be used for the Dirichlet problem, giving a counterexample with a somewhat less regular right hand side q. For this variant,  $(4.1)$  still holds. We redefine the domain  $\Omega$  so that it contains a cylindrical part (see also [\[7,](#page-9-4) §5.2]). This is done by modifying the function  $1 - |z|^2$ in the previous example. Choose a decreasing  $C^{\infty}$  function  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying

$$
\mu(t) = 1 \text{ for } t \le 1; \qquad \mu(t) \le 0 \text{ for } t \ge 4; \qquad \mu'(t) < 0 \text{ for } t \ge 2,
$$

and define

$$
\Omega = \{(r, \theta, z) \mid r^2 < \mu(|z|^2) \, F(\theta)^2\} \,. \tag{4.2}
$$

It is not hard to see that  $\Omega$  has a  $C^1$  boundary.

We now use the two-dimensional example presented in the previous section and denote by  $v_0$  the function found there that satisfies the Neumann problem on  $\omega$  with right hand side  $g_0 \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\omega})$ and that does not belong to any  $W^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\omega)$  for  $\varepsilon > 0, p \ge 1$ . In addition, we choose a function  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+})$  satisfying  $\chi(t) = 1$  for  $t < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\chi(t) = 0$  for  $t \ge 1$ . Then we define

$$
v(x, z) = v_0(x) \chi(|z|); \qquad g(x, z) = g_0(x) \chi(|z|) + v_0(x) \Delta_z \chi(|z|); \qquad (x \in \omega, |z| < 1).
$$

Initially, v and q are defined on the cylinder  $\omega \times B_1 \subset \Omega$ , and we extend them by zero on the rest of  $\Omega$ .

One easily verifies that  $v$  satisfies

$$
\Delta v = g \text{ in } \Omega \, ; \qquad \partial_n v = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \, .
$$

Noting that both  $\chi(|z|)$  and  $\Delta_z \chi(|z|)$  define  $C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$  functions and using the regularity of  $v_0 \in$  $W^{1+\frac{1}{p},p}(\omega)$  for all  $p>1,$  so that  $v_0$  is Hölder continuous on  $\overline{\omega}$ , one finds that  $g$  is Hölder continuous on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Finally the non-regularity of  $v_0$  implies clearly that also  $v \notin W^{1+\frac{1}{p}+\varepsilon,p}(\Omega)$  for  $\varepsilon > 0, p \ge 1$ .

This concludes the proof of Theorem [1.2.](#page-2-0)

### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-8-2"></span>[1] M. AINSWORTH, J. GUZMAN´ , AND F.-J. SAYAS, *Discrete extension operators for mixed finite element spaces on locally refined meshes*, Math. Comp., 85 (2016), pp. 2639–2650.
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>[2] A. ALONSO AND A. VALLI, *An optimal domain decomposition preconditioner for low-frequency timeharmonic Maxwell equations*, Math. Comp., 68 (1999), pp. 607–631.
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>[3] C. AMROUCHE, C. BERNARDI, M. DAUGE, AND V. GIRAULT, *Vector potentials in three-dimensional nonsmooth domains*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 21 (1998), pp. 823–864.

#### 10 MARTIN COSTABEL

- <span id="page-9-12"></span>[4] A. BUFFA, M. COSTABEL, AND D. SHEEN, *On traces for* H(curl, Ω) *in Lipschitz domains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 276 (2002), pp. 845–867.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[5] M. COSTABEL. *A remark on the regularity of solutions of Maxwell's equations on Lipschitz domains*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 12(4) (1990) pp. 365–368.
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[6] E. FABES, O. MENDEZ, M. MITREA. *Boundary layers on Sobolev-Besov spaces and Poisson's equation for the Laplacian in Lipschitz domains*, J. Funct. Anal. 159(2) (1998) pp. 323–368.
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[7] N. FILONOV, *Principal singularities of the magnetic field component in resonators with a boundary of a given class of smoothness*, Algebra i Analiz, 9 (1997), pp. 241–255.
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[8] D. JERISON AND C. E. KENIG, *The inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains*, J. Funct. Anal., 130 (1995), pp. 161–219.
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>[9] D. S. JERISON AND C. E. KENIG, *The Neumann problem on Lipschitz domains*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 4 (1981), pp. 203–207.
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[10] D. MITREA AND M. MITREA, *Finite energy solutions of Maxwell's equations and constructive Hodge decompositions on nonsmooth Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal., 190 (2002), pp. 339–417.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[11] R. PICARD, *An elementary proof for a compact imbedding result in generalized electromagnetic theory*, Math. Z., 187 (1984), pp. 151–164.
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[12] J. SARANEN, *On an inequality of Friedrichs*, Math. Scand., 51 (1982), pp. 310–322.
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[13] M. TAYLOR, M. MITREA, AND A. VASY, *Lipschitz domains, domains with corners, and the Hodge Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 30 (2005), pp. 1445–1462.
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[14] H. TRIEBEL, *Interpolation theory. Function spaces. Differential operators*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[15] C. WEBER, *A local compactness theorem for Maxwell's equations*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 2 (1980), pp. 12–25.
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[16] D. Z. ZANGER. *The inhomogeneous Neumann problem in Lipschitz domains*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 25(9-10) (2000) pp. 1771–1808.